

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,214	01/03/2002	Mukund R. Patel	16209.84	8610
75	90 02/09/2004		EXAM	INER
William H. Dippert			SHOSHO, CALLIE E	
Cowan, Liebowitz & Latman, P.C. 1133 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,214					
Office Action Summary		PATEL ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Callie E. Shosho	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ways. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	ovember 2003.	· ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) 8 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•		7.00.011.011.11.11.0-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
,						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Unformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is noted that in light of the cancellation of pending claims 1-7 and 10-16 in the amendment filed 11/20/03, the restriction requirement as set forth in paragraphs 1-5 of the office action mailed 8/28/03 is rendered moot, and is thus, withdrawn.

The new grounds of rejection as set forth below are necessitated by applicants' amendment and thus, the following action is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1714

Applicants have not pointed to any support for the recitation of the cited phrase and examiner has found no support for such phrase in the specification as originally filed.

The insertion of the above phraseology as described above positively excludes the use of cross-linking agent, however, there is no support in the present specification for such exclusion. While the present specification is silent with respect to the use of cross-linking agent, is noted that as stated in MPEP 2173.05(i), the "mere absence of a positive recitation is not the basis for an exclusion."

NOTE: If applicants were to remove the phrase "the blends of polyester resins being void of any cross-linking agent" in claim 9 in response to examiner's rejection as set forth in paragraph 2 above, the 103 rejection as set forth in paragraph 8 of the office action mailed 8/28/03, i.e. Geurtsen et al. (U.S. 6,537,651) in view of Benitez (U.S. 4,321,185), would again be utilized against claim 9.

Applicants argue that Geurtsen et al. is not a relevant reference against the present claims given that Geurtsen et al. disclose the of crosslinking agent and silica, neither of which is required in the present claims. However, in light of the open language of the present claims, i.e. "comprising", the present claims are clearly open to the inclusion of additional ingredients including crosslinking agent and silica as disclosed by Geurtsen et al. Applicants also argue that Geurtsen et al. disclose three-layer-coating wherein the present invention achieves abrasion, resistance, hardness, flexibility, etc. in a single layer. However, it is noted that the present claims are drawn to ink not layered product. There is no requirement in the present claims disclose how many layers are present. Thus, although the ink layer of Geurtsen et al. is but one of three layers,

Art Unit: 1714

the fact remains that Geurtsen et al. (in combination with Benitez (U.S. 4,321,185)), disclose ink as presently claimed.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 571-272-1123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday-(6:30-4:00)-Alternate Fridays-Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Callie E. Shosho

alle Shishi

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS 2/2/04